COMMISSION

42

1 FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 2 999 E Street, N.W. 3 Washington, D.C. 20463 2006 DEC 11 P 3 05 5 FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT **SENSITIVE** 6 7 MUR: 5724 8 DATE COMPLAINT FILED: 03/28/06 9 DATE OF NOTIFICATION: 04/03/06 10 LAST RESPONSE RECEIVED: 05/10/06 11 DATE ACTIVATED: 09/12/06 12 13 EXPIRATION OF SOL: 09/30/09 14 15 16 Neel Pender, Executive Director COMPLAINANT: **Democratic Party of Oregon** 17 18 19 RESPONDENTS: Jim Feldkamp for Congress and 20 Patricia Siegmund, in her official capacity 21 as treasurer 22 James L. Feldkamp 23 24 **RELEVANT STATUTES:** 2 U.S.C. § 431(26) 25 2 U.S.C. § 434(b) 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f) 26 27 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) 28 11 C.F.R. § 110.10 29 11 C.F.R. § 100.33 30 31 **33** INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED: Disclosure Reports 33 34 FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED: None 35 36 **37** 38 I. INTRODUCTION This matter arises from a complaint alleging that James L. Feldkamp, a candidate for 39 Oregon's 4th Congressional District seat in 2004, made loans to his campaign using excessive 40 contributions and/or contributions from prohibited sources. Specifically, the complaint alleges 41

that Feldkamp had insufficient personal funds to finance three loans totaling \$77,500 that he

1 purportedly made to his campaign in September and October 2004. After evaluating the

\_تے

- 2 available information, including Feldkamp's reply to a letter inviting him to clarify his response
- 3 to the complaint, we recommend that the Commission find reason to believe that James L.
- 4 Feldkamp violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(f) and 441b(a) by accepting excessive and/or prohibited
- 5 contributions; and that Feldkamp for Congress and Patricia Siegmand, in her official capacity as
- 6 trossums, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(f) and 441b(a) by assepting excessive and/or profelicted
- 7 contributions and violated 2 U.S.C. § \$34(b) by insecurately reporting the source of the loans in
- 8 question as Feldkamp's "personal funds." Finally, we recommend that the Commission
- 9 authorize an investigation to determine the source of the funds used to make the loans.

## 10 II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- James L. Feldkamp was the 2004 Republican nominee for Congress in Oregon's 4th
- 12 Congressional District, and Jim Feldkamp for Congress was his principal campaign committee
- 13 ("the Committee"). The Committee reported receiving a loan of \$30,000 from Feldkamp on
- 14 September 30, 2004. The Committee's disclosure reports show another \$30,000 loan from
- 15 Feldkamp to his campaign on October 6, 2804, followed by a \$17,500 loan σπ October 26, 2004.
- 16 All three loans, totaling \$77,500, were reported as coming from Felckump's personal funds. See
- 17 2004 October Ouarterly Report (amended); 2004 Pre-German Report (amended); 2004 Rest-
- 18 General Report (amended).
- 19 Feldkamp lost the 2004 election, and in September 2005, he announced his 2006
- 20 candidacy for the same Congressional seat. Shortly thereafter, he filed a Financial Disclosure

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This \$30,000 from was made two welds after the Committee had influenced the FliC that it was refunding an excessive contribution of \$34,780 from Feldkamp's mother, which the Committee claims was accepted "in error under the advisement of a former campaign consultant." See Feldkamp Committee, FEC Miscallaneous Form 99, filed Sept. 16, 2004. At the time the caudidities's mother, Phyllis Feldkamp, made the loan to the Committee, the had already contributed \$2,000 to Feldkamp's primary campaign and \$2,000 to his general election campaign.

- 1 Statement with the U.S. House of Representatives. He then informed the Commission that he
- 2 was forgiving his previous loans totaling \$77,500 from the 2004 election cycle. See Letter from
- 3 Feldkamp to FEC (September 30, 2005).
- 4 Feldkamp's Financial Disclosure Statement, dated September 28, 2005, reveals non-
- 5 liquid assets in the form of publicly traded stocks, real property, private interests in two family
- 6 businesses and a thrift savings plan. According to Feldhamp, these usests are reportedly worth
- 7 somewhere between \$1,140,000 and \$5,400,050. See Feldkump Response at 2 (hiey 10, 2006).
- 8 The unearned income he secovoid from these assets in 2004 was reported as less than \$10,000.
- 9 Feldkamp reported no liquid assets, no salary for 2004, and a salary of \$30,730 for 2005.<sup>2</sup>
- 10 Furthermore, the Financial Disclosure Statement does not disclose any savings accounts, trusts.
- 11 or other liquid asset accounts.<sup>3</sup>

In his unsworn response to the complaint, Feldkamp asserts that he had sufficient

personal funds to make the loans in 2004 through his substantial non-liquid assets, income from

various sources, and history of receiving monetary gifts from his mother. He stated that he "has

15 drawn a salary from a variety of jobs such as the FBI and U.S. Naval Reserves, but did not

16 indicate when he received these solatios or the amounts he received. Feldingsp durther stated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Financial Disclosure Statement for Candidates, Schedule B, requires that candidates include income and asset information for the year of filing as well as the preceding year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Financial Disclosure Statement requires filers to disclose all interest-bearing, cash-deposit accounts at banks, credit unions and savings and loan associations, including interest-bearing checking accounts, passbook and other savings accounts, money market accounts, NOW accounts, certificates of deposit, and IRAs held in the form of savings accounts or CDs, if their total value exceeds \$5,000 as of the end of the reporting paried ar if suy insinishal account exceeds \$1,000 as of the end of the reporting period. Filers are also required to disclose any income from or beneficial interest in principal or income in any trusts that have a fair market value of more than \$1,000 at the end of the reporting period.

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

that he has regularly received monetary gifts from his mother, Phyllis Feldkamp, "for events
such as his birthday or Valentine's Day, or larger so-called lifetime gifts" over the past 15 years.<sup>4</sup>

Because Feldkamp only generally asserted that the loans were financed with his "personal funds," but provided no specific information regarding the exact source of the funds, and it is not readily apparent that the potential sources to which he cites were adequate to fund the loans, we previded Feldkiamp with an opportunity to clarify the exact source of the funds used to make the loans. In his possioon response to our losses seeking clarification, Feldkamp menely stated that the source of the funds was his "own bank account," but provided no further information or supporting documentation. See Attachment 1.

## 10 III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

The key issue in this matter is whether the funds that Feldkamp loaned to his campaign were his personal funds. Congressional candidates may make unlimited campaign expenditures from personal funds. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.10. However, if the funds for the loan were not Feldkamp's personal funds, Feldkamp and the Committee may be liable for accepting excessive or prohibited contributions, and the Committee may also be liable for misreporting them. Ese 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(z)(1)(A), 441a(f), 441b(a), and 434(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In his response to the complaint, Feldkamp included a list of gifts that he received from his mother since 1990. The list reflects that since 1998, Feldkamp received an average of \$11,000 per year from his mother.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> "Personal funds" include all assets in which a candidate has legal title or an equitable interest, as well as salary and other earned income from bona fide employment; dividends and proceeds from the sale of the candidate's stocks or other investments; bequests to the candidate; income from trusts established before candidacy; income from trusts established by bospects after candidacy of which the candidate is the beneficient; this of a paracual nature value had been customatily received prior to candidaty; and paraceds from lotteries and similar legal games of chance. See 2 U.S.C. § 431(26); 11 C.F.R. § 100.33.

Any candidate who receives a contribution in connection with his or her campaign shall be considered as having received such contribution as an agent of his or her authorized committee, 2 U.S.C. § 432(e)(2).

The information presently available to us suggests that the loan funds may not have come from Feldkamp's salary or non-liquid assets. Feldkamp's response to the complaint and his Financial Disclosure Statement indicate that his assets are comprised of non-liquid stocks, real estate holdings and a thrift savings plan. Feldkamp reported the combined income from these assets as between \$5,008 to \$10,000 per year for 2004 and 2005, and none of the svaliable information suggests that Feldlemy liquidated any of these assets in suiler to major the loans. Furthermase, Feldhamp disclosed on his Financial Disclosure Statement that he land no calary in 2004 and a salary of \$30,730 for 2005.7 

When presented with an opportunity to clarify the source of the funds, Feldkamp did not elaborate, stating only that the funds came from his "own bank account." He offered no further details or documentation as to whether the funds in that bank account were his personal funds as defined in 11 C.F.R. § 100.33. Furthermore, Feldkamp failed to disclose the existence of any bank accounts on his Financial Disclosure Statement, and we have no further information at this time upon which to evaluate his assertion that the \$77,500 came from his bank account or that the account contained his personal funds.

It appears that Feldkamp may not have had sufficient income to make the loans to his campaign, and his response did not indicate that he liquidated any assets in order to fund the loan. Furthermore, Feldkamp's claims regarding the source of the funds are not supported by the information contained in his response to the complaint, his response to our letter seeking clarification, or the Financial Disclosure Statements he filed with the U.S. House of Representatives. As a result, there is a sufficient basis for further inquiry into whether the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The Financial Disclosure Statements do not list any salary income from the FBI or the National Guard for any year.

1 Committee and Feldkamp have accepted an excessive or prohibited contribution in connection 2 with the loans in question. See MUR 5321 (Janet Robert); MUR 5138 (Ferguson).

Therefore, we recommend that the Commission find reason to believe that James L. Feldkamp, and Jim Feldkamp for Congress and Patricia Siegmund, in her official capacity as tressurer, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(f) and 441b(a) by knowingly secepting prohibited or excessive funds. In addition, because the Consmittee may have incorrectly characterized the loan amounts as the "personal funds" of James Feldkamp on the Committee's disclosure reports, we also recommend that the Commission find reason to believe that Jim Feldkamp for Congress and Patricia Siegmund, in her official capacity as tressurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b). Because James Feldkamp and the Feldkamp Committee submitted a joint response, have the same counsel, and their violations arose from the same activity, we recommend a joint factual and legal analysis for the respondents. See Attachment 2.

The complaint suggests that the candidate's mother, Phyllis Feldkamp, may have been the source of the funds based upon the timing of her reimbursed contribution and the candidate's apparent lack of personal funds. See supra, note 1. If Phyllis Feldkamp did provide the funds for the loans, she may have made an excessive contribution to the Feldkamp Committee. See 2 U.S.C. § 44 la(a)(1)(A). However, at this time, the suggestion that she is the source of the funds is speculative. If during the investigation we discover that the candidate's mother was the source of some, all, or none of the loans, we will evaluate the new information and make appropriate recommendations to the Commission regarding her potential liability under the Act.

		5724 (Fe General C	ddkamp) 7 comsel's Report	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6			Accordingly, we request that the Commission	
7	approve the use of compulsory process in this matter.			
8	V.	REC	RECOMMENDATIONS	
9 10 11		1.	Find reason to believe that James L. Feldkamp violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(f) and 441b(a);	
12 13 14 15		2.	Find reason to believe that Jim Feldkamp for Congress and Patricia Siegmund, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(f), 441b(a), and 434(b);	
16 17		3.	Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis.	
18 19 20		4.	Authorize the use of compulsory process in this matter, including the issuance of interrogatories, document subpoenas and deposition subpoenas.	

5. Approve the appropriate letters. Lawrence H. Norton **General Counsel** Rhonda J. Vosdingh Associate General Counsel for Enforcement 12-11-06 BY: Kathleen M. Guith Date **Assistant General Counsel** Kate Belinski Attorney